

**LNG Taskforce  
Risk and Safety Committee  
Summary of Conference Call on October 2, 2006**

**Participants:**

Craig Cheseck  
Fred Hoover  
John Hohman  
Guido Guarnaccia  
Frank Dawson  
Dave Goshorn

**Goal:** Develop three key questions and potential speakers to address Committee's charge at October 18, 2006 Taskforce meeting.

**Background:** Dave reminded the participants of the four issues assigned to the Workgroup:

1. Risks and Hazards
2. Kind and use of the facility
6. Emergency response capabilities near the facility.
7. Need and appropriate distance for remote siting.

**Federal Consistency:** Craig asked about the role of the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, and Coastal Zone Consistency in the process. Specifically, how are these folded into the FERC licensing process, and to what degree does the State or others have authority / jurisdiction to use them in the decision making?

After much discussion, it was decided that this is an important issue, but not one unique to this Committee and its charge. It was decided that the Committee would recommend to the full Taskforce that an appropriate speaker (Federal regulator, FERC attorney?) be invited to discuss this at a future Taskforce meeting.

**Final Questions (potential presenters in parentheses):**

1. Risk Assessment and Siting (Issues # 1 and 7):
  - a. How is a risk assessment done? (FERC, Coast Guard)
  - b. Who decides if the evaluated risk is acceptable and what criteria are used to determine that? (FERC, Coast Guard)
2. Emergency Response Capabilities (Issue # 6):
  - a. What are the required emergency response capabilities for a facility of this type? (FERC, Coast Guard)
  - b. What are the current emergency response capabilities at the site? (MEMA, Baltimore County Fire Department)
  - c. Who is responsible for paying for any upgrades necessary to take us from the current capabilities to the required capabilities? (FERC, Coast Guard)

3. Kind and use of the facility (Issue # 2):
  - a. What are the recommended site conditions (ex. distance from residences, distance from industry, infrastructure, environmental considerations) for a facility of this type? (FERC, Coast Guard)
  - b. What are the current site conditions? (Baltimore County Office of Planning)

**Speakers:**

It was decided that most of the questions above would be best addressed by a representative from FERC (specifically, questions 1a, 1b, 2a, 2c, and 3a). Question 2b would be most appropriately addressed by the Baltimore County Fire Department. There was no discussion of an appropriate speaker to address question 3b.

**Additional Questions:**

Two additional questions were raised during the discussion, which did not necessarily fit into the Committee's charge, but which the Committee felt should be forwarded to the full Taskforce for consideration as appropriate:

1. Will the Bay Bridge and/or Key Bridge need to be closed when LNG tankers transit beneath or adjacent to?
2. What is the role of a possible power plant to be built at the site by AES, and how does that play in the process?

Finally, Guido Guarnaccia requested that he be allowed to present his video of safety concerns during the October 18 meeting.